



EQUITY TASKFORCE MEMBERS

Cynthia Briscoe Brown Leslie Grant Erika Mitchell

Equity Advisory Taskforce District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4 District 5 District 6 At-Large Seat 7 At-Large Seat 8 At-Large Seat 9 Trinity Lewis Semira Ajani Morna Francis Lisa Dwyer Shamkia M. Yizar Jessica Johnson Greg Clay Tom Dunn Miriam Archibong

GUIDING PRINCIPLE ON <u>EQUITY?</u>

Equity is strategic decision-making, with the goal of remedying opportunity and learning gaps, and creating a barrier free environment, which enables all students to graduate ready for college and career.



COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

PHASE I

Members of the committee were asked to conduct <u>"Empathize" Interviews</u>. These are brief conversations that connected members to the authentic experiences of the Atlanta Public Schools community and staff, related to equity and inequity. Although we want to hear about people's experiences of inequity, their positive experiences are equally valuable, as we consider what we want to foster and build.



<u>PHASE II</u>

The Board conducted engagement sessions with community stakeholders to identify the strengths and weaknesses of the drafted Equity Policy. The Equity policy was drafted by the Equity Taskforce and vetted by the Advisory Committee prior to sharing it with community.

PHASE I - COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

INPUT







Reviewed Sample Equity Policies

Roanoke, VA School District

- Norfolk, VA School District
- Portland, OR School District
- Oakland, CA Unified School District



Process for drafting an Equity Policy



PHASE II - COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT



HOSTED 4 ENGAGEMENT SESSIONS RESULTING IN FEEDBACK FROM OVER 120 STAKEHOLDERS

*** Community Engagement Sessions were held in all quadrants of the District: Sutton Middle School, King Middle School, Long Middle School and Mays High School.





Community Stakeholders stated that the policy:

- Presented a well-written Purpose/Call to Action
- Developed strong goals
- Acknowledged historic & systemic inequity
- Called out race as a focus
- Addressed the results of systemic oppression and multigenerational poverty
- Identified key areas of focus for evaluation





WEAKNESSES

Community Stakeholders stated that the policy does not:

- Mention a parent element
- Speak to the true equity of schools
- Establish SMART Goals
- Address Teacher Quality
- Reference the data received from audit
- Include a level of engagement for stakeholders
- Focus on students with special needs
- Produce a clear level of accountability for the district
- Account for a needs assessment





Creating a System of Excellent Schools 25 February 2019



Agenda

Topic

Goal and Project History

Project Deliverables

Community Engagement to Date

Project Deliverables Detail

Next Steps



While APS has made much progress, persistent achievement gaps remain and not every student has access to an excellent school

APS' on-time graduation rate is at an all-time high of 79.9%, up a remarkable 29% points since 2012. Yet, Black and Hispanic students remain nearly 20% points behind White students and achievement gaps have closed less than 3% points over the past six years.







SCHOOLS

The System of Excellent Schools project is the next step in the implementation of the district's transformation strategy

By defining the characteristics of excellent schools and making clear what the district is committed to doing to expand excellence, this work allows us to:

- Knit together into a more cohesive whole the important initiatives and work streams we already have underway;
- Deepen our implementation of the strategic plan and core tenets of our operating model; and
- Better prepare us to develop our next strategic plan.





Agenda

Topic

Goal and Project History

Project Deliverables

Community Engagement to Date

Project Deliverables Detail

Next Steps



The "north star" for this effort was to define and enact a vision of excellence for APS and our system of schools



We must create a system of excellent schools that serves the needs and aspirations of *Every Student* through a clear vision of excellence and a plan to operationalize that vision.

Therefore, through the project, we have sought to:

- Align on a definition of school excellence that integrates with existing definitions of teaching and leadership excellence and includes a profile of an APS graduate;
- Determine how to best measure schools' progress toward excellence and determine how to respond when schools do or don't fulfill the vision; and
- Determine how to best advance the vision through a system of excellent schools that includes neighborhood, charter and partner schools, but may also include other school models.



Together, we've drafted a series of tools that help facilitate a System of Excellent Schools

Vision of Excellence

Vision and Graduate Profile

Integrates with other key district systems to define the characteristics of excellent schools, the graduates they seek to develop, and the learning experiences that prepare APS students for college and career

Excellent Schools Framework

Possible Measures

Translates the Vision of Excellence into specific, quantifiable measures of school performance to enable planning, analysis, differentiation of supports, and public transparency



Excellent Schools Support and Action Framework

&

Ongoing Tiered System of Support

Outlines a possible list of differentiated supports the district is committed to offering schools in response to their individual needs, their community contexts, and the data and insight generated through the Excellent Schools Framework

School Action Framework

Outlines a possible list of actions that may apply to schools with sustained performance in a given performance category of the Excellent Schools Framework

-	The Action Frances	c School Actions seven is react to provide transparency into adv various to be then your summer back provide the providence of a given make to schoold unique chromateries, so APS isotechip it to take action at any time to amount action access.		
Congoing Thered System of Supports	Domiti Depectations* A Research Depectations A Research	Experimental of program elements Experimental according to program elements Experimental according to provide a manufacture according provides Experimental according to provide according provides Experimental according to provide according provides Experimental according to provide according to provide according Experimental according to provide according		
Anview automorphic transmission at another Could, calebraies, and draw bear practices Systemicially assess school examples and again, sepport temperature indexed and instances and all temperature automations and another Another investment on instances suggests, peaking temperature Cases investment on instances suggests, peaking temperature Cases investment on instances suggests, peaking temperature Cases investment on instances suggests, peaking temperatures and Cases investment on instances and peaking temperatures and Cases investment on instances and peaking temperatures and Cases investment on instances and peaking temperatures and peaking temperatures and Cases investment on instances and peaking temperatures and Cases investment on instances and peaking temperatures and Cases investment on instances and peaking temperatures and peaking temperatures and Cases investment on instances and peaking temperatures and Cases investment on instances and peaking temperatures and Cases investment on instances and peaking temperatures an	Approaching Expectations ••••			
and laws across school When possible, invertices top androm-tog taschers and when madely, increase from an isability development and materials When madely, increase from an isability development When madely, increase from an isability appoint and when madely increase from an isability appoint and when madely increase and appoint appoint part when madely increases and appoints appoint part of when madely increases and appoints appoint part of when madely increases and appoints appoint part of when madely appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints when madely appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints when appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints when appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints when appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints when appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints when appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints when appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints when appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints when appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints when appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints when appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints when appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints when appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints appoints appo	tegorog	1. To presentable with the samely, or ease a supportances, molecy opin handlog Boot-hondrowing above. 10 state product monthe to Taylar potential performance pro- 50 states a partner to obligate path the diskits to general 10 states and the state of the same of the states of the 10 states and the states of the states of the states 10 states and the states of the states of the states 10 states and the states of the states of the states 10 states of the states of the states of the states 10 states of the states of the states of the states 10 states of the states of the states of the states 10 states of the states of the states of the states 10 states of the states of the states of the states 10 states of the states of the states of the states 10 states of the states of the states 10 states of the states of the states 10 states of the states 10 states of the states 10 states 1		
to students i.e., needing and much specialists		Intel control Periods inpact assessment and references		



Illustrative timeline of events going forward

WINTER 2019	SUMMER 2019	SY19-20	SY20-21	SY21-22	SY22-23	SY23-24
	Build ESF		First year of			First year of
<u>March 4</u> Consider and vote on relevant actions from the SES project	Develop a compre measures, floors, g to complete the de Excellent Schools	goals and weights sign of the	ESE System of Excellent Schools work			actions Utilize actions framework based on
	Set up necessary data creation processes					multiple years of ESF results
	Adopt plan	Pilot ESF				
	Adopt and begin implementation of a multi-year plan for all System of Excellent Schools work	Pilot the Excellent Schools Framework in order to gain data to inform its refinement and finalization				
	Study and refine Support and Action Framework					
		entation criteria for t nd Action Framewor				
	Develop and impr measure excellen and supports for s	ce of services	Provide, evaluate	and improve school	supports	
	Continue to design and facilitate opportunities for broad stakeholder engagement					
	Ass prog ris bene	ress, prog <s, ris<="" td=""><td>iress, prog sks, ris</td><td>sess iress, ks, iefits</td><td></td><td></td></s,>	iress, prog sks, ris	sess iress, ks, iefits		



LIC DLS

Agenda

Topic

Goal and Project History

Project Deliverables

Community Engagement to Date

Project Deliverables Detail

Next Steps



Stakeholders have invested approximately 100 hours in envisioning, shaping, and improving the deliverables of this project

Stakeholder Group	Stakeholders Reached	Engagement Summary
Working Group	6 district leaders	 17 weekly meetings totaling >20 hours of engagement Weekly meetings planned through March
SELT	~30 district senior executives	 3 full-day retreats and 4 working sessions totaling >30 hours of engagement
Advisory Committee	>40 teachers, principals, GO team members, community members, central office leaders	 6 design and feedback sessions totaling 12 hours of engagement, often with homework in between 1 more possible engagement
Expanded Cabinet and Principals Meetings	~66 principals ~134 district leaders	 6 meetings totaling >12 hours of engagement
Assistant Principals	~140 Assistant Principals	 1 meeting, 2 sessions, totaling 1.5 hours of engagement
Community Survey	~650 respondents	 Community-wide survey generating approximately 645 unique responses
Community Meetings	~250 community members	 4 community meetings between November and January 9 Cluster Advisory Team meetings scheduled through February
Board	9 elected leaders	 3 full-day retreats and 2 learning trips Multiple additional preparation meetings 2 upcoming business meetings



Stakeholder feedback was generally supportive of APS focusing on a System of Excellent Schools, but opinions vary on the details

- Stakeholders support adopting a Vision of Excellence, are generally aligned around the draft presented, and desire clear integration between the Vision and other major bodies of work in the district.
 - Stakeholders expressed general alignment about the idea of adopting a Vision of Excellence and Portrait of a Graduate
 - Many similar descriptors of excellent schools surfaced across stakeholders and focus on a holistic set of both leading indicators and student academic and social-emotional learning outcomes
 - The three domains and 12 indicators have largely resonated with stakeholders
 - Stakeholders have a strong desire to explicitly integrate the Vision of Excellence with the district Strategic Plan, the Board's equity work, Signature Programs, and the district's Definitions of Teaching and Leadership Excellence



Stakeholder feedback was generally supportive of APS focusing on a System of Excellent Schools, but opinions vary on the details

- 2 District leaders generally support the idea of adopting an Atlanta-specific Excellent Schools Framework (ESF); community members are interested in an ESF that takes a holistic approach, but also feel it may place undue pressure on schools.
 - Stakeholders expressed general alignment that APS would benefit from a local Framework that builds upon CCRPI and includes a more holistic set of measures
 - While many stakeholders supported the idea of investing in new and necessary data sources aligned to the Vision of Excellence, there were mixed opinions on how pervasively survey-based measures should be used
 - There was a wide range of opinions on specific measures, weights and approaches to standard-setting, all of which must be explored in the next phase of this work and resolved prior to full implementation
 - The Board and APS leadership have expressed a desire to improve accountability for the quality of central office supports and services



Stakeholder feedback was generally supportive of APS focusing on a System of Excellent Schools, but opinions vary on the details

- 3 Stakeholders agree that an Excellent Schools Support and Action Framework could benefit the district, but only if it is rolled out in conjunction with a continued focus on improving district supports and can be applied with flexibility; there is no clear pattern of opinions on which specific actions are most helpful.
 - All constituents believed that the district must invest in expanding and improving its school supports and services
 - Many stakeholder groups expressed cautious optimism that the Framework could be impactful for APS by driving transparency and predictability
 - Stakeholders generally wanted to avoid creating a Framework that is so prescriptive there's limited room for discretion and response to schools' unique contexts
 - Most stakeholder groups identified both benefits and risks of adopting a codified Framework; while some felt risks could be mitigated, others were more skeptical
 - There was a wide range of opinions on which specific actions are best suited to drive increased access to excellent schools and seats across the system; this must be explored in the next phase of this work



Agenda

Topic

Goal and Project History

Project Deliverables

Community Engagement to Date

Project Deliverables Detail

Next Steps



Excellent schools exist within a broader System of Excellence, anchored in APS' Strategic Plan, that ensures supports and services are efficient, effective and equitable.*



Our Commitment to Equity

... is woven throughout the Vision of Excellence with the goal of remedying opportunity and learning gaps and creating a barrier-free environment which enables all students to graduate ready for college and career.**

*APS Board and leadership are committed to creating a service-oriented ecosystem in support of school excellence Measuring central office excellence and the impact of specific supports--and engaging in needed continuous improvement--should be a priority of the district's next strategic plan. **To be updated upon completion of the Board's equity task force efforts.



Domain 1 ADULTS INVESTED IN STUDENT SUCCESS

The school strategically leverages and supports teachers, school leaders, families and community partners in serving as equal and effective stewards of student success.

INDICATORS

1A. Teaching Excellence: Teachers are advancing achievement for all students; adults hold high expectations of students

1B. Leadership Excellence: School leadership is effective; allocates people, time and money toward priorities; holds high expectations for adults and students

1C. Engagement, Development and Retention: Staff feel supported, are developing their skills, deepening their connections with each other, growing their social and emotional competencies, and are retained at the school over time

1D. Empowered Families and Communities: Families are equipped with the information and resources they need to advocate for their students, the community trusts the school, and partners are invested in its mission



Domain 2 RIGOROUS AND SUPPORTIVE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT

The school has a safe, trusting, and collaborative environment conducive to learning, where all students are exposed to rigor and needed interventions, are challenged to achieve, take ownership of their academic journey, and are deeply and joyfully engaged in learning.

INDICATORS

2A. Rigorous Content and Instruction: All students are exposed to rigorous and relevant content; instruction is standards-based, culturally responsive and challenging

2B. Equitable Opportunities: All students have equitable support services and opportunities for enrichment and holistic development

2C. Joyful and Supportive Learning Environment: The school environment is clean and safe; students, families and staff have strong, trusting interpersonal relationships; students are supported with needed interventions; students feel supported to take risks and take ownership of their academic journey; students' learning experiences are joyful and challenging



Domain 3 GROWTH AND ACHIEVEMENT

The school ensures that all students, regardless of background, attain the skills, knowledge bases, mindsets and dispositions they need to succeed in college, career and community. Students of all backgrounds make needed annual growth to ensure they graduate with the social, emotional and academic skills needed for success and this growth results in the closure or elimination of gaps between student subgroups.

INDICATORS

3A. Growth: Students of all achievement levels are making annual growth

3B. Attainment: Students are performing at or above grade level expectations

3C. College and Career Readiness: Both before and during high school, students are increasing their readiness for college and career so they can enter postsecondary education without remediation and are competitive in the job market

3D. Social and Emotional and Whole Child Learning: Students are actively developing socialemotional competencies and mindsets needed for lifelong citizenship and a social justice orientation

3E. Gap Closure: Inequitable learning gaps between student subgroups are closing



A list of draft measures was developed to illustrate how the Framework could assess the various indicators of the Vision of Excellence

Generated initial list of measures through multiple sources

- Cross-walk of 2017 and 2018 CCRPI measures
- Inventory of school-level data on APS Insights and Governor's Office of Student Achievement data portal

٠

٠

- Cross-walk of domains and items on Georgia statewide student, parent, staff surveys, as well as APS Gallup survey
- Ideas from other systems
 nationally and the research
 literature

Filtered measures based on their fitness for use according to 6 design principles

- Build from the state's CCRPI when appropriate and possible;
- Contain multiple measures that expand beyond test scores to create a holistic view of school performance;
- Place social-emotional and whole child learning alongside academic outcomes at the core of the model;
- Organize logically, connecting inputs required for student success to expected outcomes;
- Prioritize areas that are in schools' locus of control; and
- Include common measures that apply to all school types, with the exception of alternative education campuses

Resulted in a possible list of approximately 70 measures that must be further analyzed and refined for their measurement validity

29% come from a readily available data source and likely do not require additional development before use

55% come from data sources that require some evaluation and improvement before use

16% do not have an extant
 data source and require
 data creation and measure
 development



Draft Excellent Schools Support and Action Framework

Annual process of using data to identify school strengths, gaps and needed supports

Schools annually assess their needs and complete root cause analyses to identify needed supports.

Provision of ongoing and tiered system of supports

APS is committed to providing schools with differentiated, on-going and high-quality supports. Supporting schools is the core work of the district. Schools across all performance categories receive supports, though they may be differentiated to meet the specific needs of schools across performance categories.

Application of School Actions Framework

3

The Framework is meant to provide transparency into why various interventions may be taken after sustained school performance at a given level. It is responsive to schools' unique circumstances, so APS leadership reserves the right to take action at any time to ensure school success.

Evaluation and Improvement

Actions are systematically analyzed for impact and opportunities for continuous improvement are identified.

All schools complete comprehensive planning Supports are differentiated by school based on need

Actions are taken after supports and correspond to performance category



Draft Excellent Schools Support and Action Framework

Annual process of using data to identify school strengths, gaps and needed supports

Menu of Possible

Provision of ongoing and tiered system of supports Application of School Actions Framework

Evaluation and Improvement

APS is committed to providing schools with differentiated, on-going and high-quality supports. Supporting schools is the core work of the district. Schools across all performance categories receive supports, though they may be differentiated to meet the specific needs of schools across performance categories.

- Provide autonomies to all schools ٠
- Codify, celebrate, and share best practices ٠
- Systematically assess school strengths and gaps; support leader in developing a plan and implementing a ٠ set of strategies appropriate to their school's needs
- Provide universal or intensive supports, possibly tiered to meet unique needs across performance ٠ categories
- Create intentional collaboration structures to share with and learn across schools ٠
- Supports When possible, Incentivize high performing teachers and leaders to support lower performing schools ٠
 - When needed, increase focus on teacher development and retention ٠
 - When needed, increase focus on leadership development and retention ٠
 - When needed, intensify whole-school supports and oversight ٠
 - When needed, increase non-academic support personnel ٠
 - Increase personnel to provide targeted academic support to students (i.e., reading and math specialists) ٠



Draft Excellent Schools Support and Action Framework

Annual process of using data to identify school strengths, gaps and needed supports

Evaluation and Improvement

The Framework is meant to provide transparency into why various interventions may be taken after sustained school performance at a given level. It is responsive to schools' unique circumstances, so APS leadership reserves the right to take action at any time to ensure school success.

Exceeds Expectations*	 Replicate school or program elements Extend empowerment status with increased autonomy and accountability, possibly to include community governance 					
Meets Expectations	 Form an empowerment zone with multiple empowerment schools, possibly to include community governance Expand enrollment 					
Approaching Expectations	 In partnership with the community, create a school improvement or re-design plan that includes Board-monitored performance goals Implement a strategic staffing initiative to incentivize proven teachers to support school improvement Extend empowerment status with increased autonomy and accountability, possibly to include community governance Form an empowerment zone with multiple empowerment schools, possibly to include community governance 					
Needs Improvement	 In partnership with the community, create a comprehensive redesign plan including Board-monitored performance goals Enable student transfer to higher performing schools Secure a partner to collaborate with the district to operate the school Extend empowerment status with increased autonomy and accountability, possibly to include community governance 					
Beginning	 Extend empowerment status with increased autonomy and accountability, possibly to include community governance Form an empowerment zone with multiple empowerment schools, possibly to include community governance Merge with a higher performing school Reconstitute staff and leadership Close, possibly with a re-launch and/or a solicitation for new school petitions 					



Agenda

Topic

Goal and Project History

Project Deliverables

Community Engagement to Date

Project Deliverables Detail

Next Steps



Illustrative timeline of events going forward

WINTER 2019	SUMMER 2019	SY19-20	SY20-21	SY21-22	SY22-23	SY23-24
	Build ESF		First year of			First year of
March 4 Consider and vote on relevant actions from the SES project	Develop a compre measures, floors, to complete the de Excellent Schools	goals and weights sign of the	ESF Implement the System of Excellent Schools work			actions Utilize actions framework based on
	Set up necessary data creation processes					multiple years of ESF results
	Adopt plan	Pilot ESF				
	Adopt and begin implementation of a multi-year plan for all System of Excellent Schools work	Pilot the Excellent Schools Framework in order to gain data to inform its refinement and finalization				
	Study and refine Support and Action Framework					
		entation criteria for t nd Action Framewor				
	Develop and impr measure excellen and supports for s	ce of services	Provide, evaluate	and improve school	supports	
	Continue to design and facilitate opportunities for broad stakeholder engagement					
	prog ris	ress, prog ks, ris	ress, prog ks, ris	sess ress, ks, refits		



LIC DLS

At its March 4th Board meeting, the Board will consider recommendations on the following

1 The adoption of a district-wide Vision of Excellence for schools and a corresponding Portrait of a Graduate

- These tools will serve as guiding documents to support increased alignment and coherence across the district
- An implementation process will be developed

2

The development of an Excellent Schools Framework with authorization for the Superintendent to continue to refine the implementation details such as measures, weights and floors

- Implementation details will be developed in alignment with the adopted Vision of Excellence and the design
 principles identified as important during this process
- The ESF will only be piloted if the Superintendent is confident in the validity and reliability of available measures
- As part of its due diligence prior to implementation, the Superintendent will research and share cost and staffing implications

3 The development of an Excellent Schools Support and Action Framework with authorization for the Superintendent to continue to develop and refine implementation details

- While additional design and implementation details will be developed for the set of actions currently drafted as illustrative, the Board will not determine in March 2019 which actions it will ultimately use and under what circumstances
- While not formally part of the Board actions under consideration in March 2019, the Board envisions developing a system to measure and continuously improve the quality of central office supports and services within the same timeframe and as part of its next strategic plan
- As part of its due diligence prior to implementation, the Superintendent will research and share cost and staffing implications

